Declassified | Case: ITX= 54087 Date: |0-24-2018

DRAFT

RJ Q Apyentin

TO:

HA - Steve Palmer

IO - Mr. Michael Newlin

FROM:

INR -

SUBJECT:

Request for Assessment of Human Rights

Situation in Argentina

As requested in your memorandum of February 6, attached is an analysis of the current human rights situation in Argentina for use in making decisions on US policy vis-a-vis Argentina-related items at the UNHRC.

The human rights situation in Argentina has continued to improve over previous years, but serious problems re-In addition, Argentina's activities in support of the Bolivian coup and the issue of accounting for past disappeareds remain serious human rights concerns. There have been no credible reports of disappearances since last September. (For all of 1980 there were unconfirmed reports of 28 disappearances of which 12 cases occurred under circumstances which lend credibility to GOA authorities, in fact, printily admit to such tre charges of official involvement. / There has been no movement by the Argentine authorities toward addressing the sensitive issue of accounting for past disappeareds. The most carefully documented list of unexplained disappearances contain about 5,600 cases for the period Argentina has refused to cooperate with the UN Working Group on disappeareds and is expected to continue to raise a procedural smokescreen at the UNHRC meeting in Geneva in an effort to block further Working Group inquiries.

The number of prisoners held on other than common criminal charges totalled about 1,550 and 550 are being held without trial and/or charges. During 1980, eight persons were arrested under the state of siege provision. The Right of Option program, which allows qualified prisoners to choose self-exile in lieu of detention, has been greatly restricted by Argentine Government regulations.

The opportunities for the exercise of political freedoms remain circumscribed by the prohibition on political activities and de facto limits on the freedom of expression. Politicians and the press have increasingly voiced criticism of government policies, but the limits of acceptable criterion are (uncertain) and critics are occasionally harrassed or detained on the grounds that they have overstepped the limits of the law.

The steady improvement in Argentine human rights perreflect.

formance has been the result of the government's own

to add the conditions which have brought about
initiatives toward bettering the human rights situation)
and its increasing awareness of international concern,

over Argentina's human rights image.

Argentina, which has experienced difficulties in its relations with the US during the last four years -- foresees improvements under President Reagan. Last November's Republican victory was greeted with thinly veiled elation.

Argentine expectations are based on:

-- a perception of Republican administrations as strongly anti-communist and, therefore, unlikely to victimize Argentina's anti-communist military governments thorough application of what they believe has been a double standard in human rights matters;

- -- inferences drawn from campaign statements and comments of self-proclaimed "spokesmen" for the Republican Party and President Reagan who have visited Argentina in the last six months;
- -- the perception of Secretary Haig as a no-nonsense, security-oriented fellow military professional who is better attuned to understand the problems of the area's military governments than a civilian; the Secretary's statements concerning the importance of combatting terrorism have buttressed this impression; and
- -- the power of self-deception, i.e., the ability of military leaders to convince themselves that certain changes are likely because those changes would serve their interests.

Essential to this process of self-deception is the conviction that the attitudes and policies of the Carter administration were primarily responsible for troubled bilateral relations. Acrimony over human rights issues, for example, is often attributed not to past or continuing abuses but to:

- -- the failure of the US to understand Latin American "reality";
- -- the alleged moralistic, anti-military bias of US policy; or

-- the actions of "dangerously leftist" US officials in the executive and legislative branches.

The consequences of this mind set is the belief that improved relations must begin with changes in the attitudes and policies of Washington rather than in those of Argentina.

Notwithstanding significant economic ties between the USSR and Argentina, the armed forces leaders are committed anti-communists, sometimes to the point of obsession with the perceived threat to their countries and the rest of Latin America. They are undoubtedly convinced that a US administration able and willing to appreciate that threat will also develop an enhanced appreciation of the political, economic, and strategic value of having allies among Southern Cone nations.

Southern Cone leaders including those in Argentina, expect no dramatic US initiatives with respect to their region. They are aware that Latin America traditionally is not high on a new US administration's list of foreign policy priorities. They would tend to greet the announcement of a sweeping new policy for Latin America with a cynicism born of long experience with such promises. Under current conditions, they expect US attention and resources devoted to Latin America will be focused primarily on Central America and the Caribbean. They understand and will

applaud this priority because Argentine military leaders believe that US policy toward Central America has been misguided in not responding aggressively to Cuban infiltration. Finally, the more sophisticated regional leaders realize that specific policy objectives of the new administration with respect to Argentina will be delayed to some degree by the need to coordinate policy within the US government and particularly with the Congress.

What Southern Cone governments and especially
Argentina, do expect is a change in US attitudes that
will create an atmosphere more conducive to friendly
relations and the resolution, or at least discussion,
of outstanding problems. Specifically, they anticipate
an end to what they perceive as the negative atmosphere
of the Carter years during which they believe that they
were kept on the defensive, subjected to invidious
comparisons with the Hemisphere's democracies, and forced
to endure the consequences of a double standard in the
implementation of US human rights policy.

In their view, the desired shift in US attitudes should involve:

-- elimination of the "anti-military bias" in
US policy;

-- better understanding of the subversive threat faced by Southern Cone governments; and consequently,
-- a lower US profile in human rights matters,
acknowledgement of the region's importance to US
and Western Hemisphere security, and acceptance
of the claims that the Southern Cone countries are
willing friends and allies of the US.

Specific US measures that Argentina would welcome as parbingers of better relations include the abrogation of the Kennedy-Humphrey Amendment (Section 620b of the FAA, 1977) that cut off US arms and training on October 1, 1978, and a less restrictive US policy on the transfer of nuclear materials and technology.

While the general expectation is for improved bilateral relations, in Argentina's case, domestic politics militates against a suddenly more cooperative relationship. General (retired) Roberto Viola will assume the presidency on March 29. His supporting cast includes generals and admirals whose basic "anti-Yankee" sentiments have intensified over the past four years. Although personally inclined toward cordial bilateral relations. Viola cannot move so far or so rapidly as to provoke the animosity of his anti-US cohorts.

Argentina's policy regarding Bolivia has been a major deterrent toward improved US-Argentine relations. Since the July 17, 1980 coup that ushered in the Garcia Meza regime, various kinds of Argentine assistance have helped keep the Bolivian military in power. (Aid levels, however, have fallen short of Bolivian expectations and, particularly in the economic area, been a source of disappointment and political embarrassment for La Paz.)

Economic aid has consisted primarily of food, supplier credits, and loans. In all cases except for food donations, the terms have been more commercial than concessionary. As of late October, two separate loan packages had been negotiated, each totaling \$125 million. The first \$125 million package consists of:

- -- \$15 million to rollover previous debt;
- -- \$60 million disbursed to the Central Bank of
  Bolivia on September 30 and reportedly intended to
  turn a net reserves deficit of \$48 million into a
  surplus in order to impress IMF officials. Some
  sources have reported that the Bolivians agreed not to
  spend these "cosmetic" funds, but, with or without
  Argentine approval, they were reportedly shifted to pay
  current expenses. In early December, a DAO La Paz
  source (apparently an Argentine military officer)

disparagingly alleged that Argentina could account for only 18 out of \$50 million that had been loaned to Bolivia. He may have been referring somewhat inaccurately to the \$60 million loan to the Central Bank; and

-- \$50 million to aid ongoing development projects, particularly in cases where Bolivia was in need of matching funds to keep projects going.

We know little of the second \$125 million package except that the funds are to be tied to development projects and not used for such current expenditures as debt repayment and salaries.

With respect to military assistance, we know of no major Bolivian purchases nor Artentine grants since the soup. Just before July 17, shipments of Argentine ammunition and small arms reportedly arrived in Bolivia.

Assistance in the form of military training and the provision of advisors is undoubtedly continuing, although we have little recent information on how many Argentines are in Bolivia or what they are doing.

	we have freeze receive intoinaction on now many interested
	are in Bolivia or what they are doing.
EQ25x1	the Argentine State Intelligence
	Service (SIDE) has provided training in intelligence matters.
	SIDE officers reportedly instructed
	Bolivians

in intelligence and interrogation techniques.	Re-
portedly included in the curiculum were the more	
nefarious interrogation techniques	
Similar instruction had prev	viously
been given	•
	• • •

Clearly the Argentines have not extended themselves in supporting the Garcia Meza government. They have done and will do what they believe necessary to keep the military in power in Bolivia, but the generals in Buenos. Aires are not enthralled with Garcia Meza and his supporting cast. The Argentines see little need to place themselves in such an obvious and public patron relationship that they would have to absorb an even greater share of the international criticism that has been showered on the Garcia Meza regime. It is doubtful, in fact, that Argentina would do much to prevent the ouster of the Garcia Meza crowd, and it might prove somewhat more generous with a successor military government that was more capable of gaining acceptance at home and abroad. Ultimately, however, Argentine largesse will be curbed by:

-- Argentina's primary objective in Bolivia which is order rather than economic development; and

-- Argentine wariness about overexposure in a country that Argentines consider inherently unstable and populated by an inferior Indian people.

## DRAFT

Acting Assistant Secretary

TO:

HA - StevenPalmer

10 - Mr. Michael Newlin Acting Assistant Scenetary

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Request=for Assessment of Human Rights

Situation in Argentina

As requested in your memorandum of February 6, summary (a) attached is amanalysis of the current human rights situation in Argentina, for use in making decisions on -US-policy-vis-a-vis-Argentina-related items at the (b) Argentinar support of UNHRC.

The Bolivian regime and (C) what two Southern Com Governments expect of two new U.S. Administration. This assessment should be considered in enjunction with The 1980 Country Report on Human Rights Practice in Argentina.

(A)

Needyct: a description of two nature + origins of two GOA more details on two pre-UNHR campaign, incil. coop w/ USSR.

The human rights situation in Argentina has continued to improve over previous years, but serious problems are

main. In addition, Argentina's activities in support of "Cocame" Accounting for past disappeareds remain serious human rights concerns.

There have been no credible reports of disappearances since last September. For all of 1980 there were unconfirmed reports of 28 disappearances of which 12 cases occurred under circumstances which lend credibility to charges of official involvement. There has been no movement by the Argentine authorities toward addressing the sensitive issue of accounting for past disappeareds.

The most carefully documented list of unexplained dis-

appearances containsabout 5,600 cases for the period

1976-79. Argentina has refused to cooperate with the Human Rights Commissions UNAWorking Group on Disappeareds and expected to

continue to raise a procedural smakescreen at the UNHRC

meeting in Geneva in an effort to block further working

Group inquiries.

The number of prisoners held on other than common criminal charges totalled about 1,550 and 550 are being held without trial and/or charges. During 1980, eight persons were arrested under the state (if siege provision.

The Right of Option program, which allows qualified

prisoners to choose self-exile in lieu of detention, has

been greatly restricted by Argentine Government regulations.

(Servence with spicific needed & B

name of up.

Argentina is avong 15 countries under investigation by the UN Harran Rights Commission's Working broup on Disgressaves. However, rospersted with the We did to refused to respond to the Whis written requests for information about spenfix redicidad. Daing too greanances before the Wb, buth of which war private sersons, Argentine Aubssooden Mortinez reiterated his esfusce to Apply any sufamation on these individuals without a commitment by the group to leat it when Re 1503 providures. Doctor de extertes of the Ub has been achieved with Agentinas. copertro it servais to be seen too cooperating

The GOA will become our The ment year.

Description of Present Argentine-Government;

The military took control of the state in 1976. Maintaining the state of siege imposed in 1974 by President Maria Estela Peron, the armed forces closed Congress, deposed the President, and replaced all members of the Supreme Court. Most elements of the democratic process remained legally suspended. The government has declared its intention to return the nation to constitutional democracy but it has not established a timetable for realizing that goal. The military has designated General Viola to serve until March 1984 as President.

2. Bolivia-Argentina: The January 17, 1980 military coup which interrupted the return to democracy was supported by the Argentine military and has continued to receive economic, diplomatic and military support. The Garcia Meza regime is qualitatively different from any in recent Bolivian history in its level of brutality, venality and unpopularity. Unlike other authoritarian regimes in South America, the Garcia Meza regime has no coherent economic plan, no political program other than anti-Marxist rhetoric and non-visible objective other than to maintain itself in power and enrich itself in drug trafficking to the United States.